

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary

Application No.

09/933,013

Applicant(s)

MCGILL, SHANE R.

Examiner

David L. Sorkin

Art Unit

1723

All Participants:

(1) David L. Sorkin.

(2) Alan M. Kagan.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 11 December 2003

Time: 3:30 PM

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

not discussed

Claims discussed:

proposed claim 73 of the after-final amendment filed 24 November 2003

Prior art documents discussed:

not discussed

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: After the examiner contended that proposed claim 73 was inconsistent with the embodiment of the independent claim from which it depends, Mr. Kagen authorized cancellation of the claim by examiner's amendment upon entry of the after-final amendment, to place the application in condition for allowance. .